



## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

**COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO

MURSAN AND FINNEGAN

345 PARK AVENUE

NEW YORK NY 10154

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/429,325

04/25/95

DEABE

17

2122-4028

MMC1/0527

**EXAMINER** 

MALIMUMSKI, W

**ART UNIT** 

PAPER NUMBER

2871

**DATE MAILED:** 

06/27/90

# FINAL REJECTION

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

RECEIVED

OCT 2 0 2006

**GROUP 3600** 

	OCT 17 2000
Office Actio	n Summano

Application No.

Examiner

Applicant(s)

08/428,325

Group Art Unit

Okabe

	Walter Malinowski	2871	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 6, 2000			
★ This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle35		ion as to the m	erits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for I	response will ca	use the
Disposition of Claim	*		
Claim(s) <u>4-9, 13-15, and 19-28</u>		is/are pend	ling in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawr	from consideration
Claim(s)		is/ar	e allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>4-9, 13-15, and 19-28</u>		is/ar	e rejected.
Claim(s)			e objected to.
Claims	are subject to	restriction or e	ection requirement.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi The drawing(s) filed on	objected to by the Examiner.  is approved under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). of the priority documents have be lumber)  lumber)  lumber and the Examiner.	een	PECEIVED OCT 2 0 2006
Attachment(s)			
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>□ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper</li> <li>□ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>			
SEE OFFICE ACTION O	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: part number "63" of Fig. 42 is not identified in the specification. Correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4-9, 13-15, and 19-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takanshi et al. (Takanashi), U.S. Patent No. 5,315,410.

Takanashi discloses a photoelectric sensor including a photoconductive layer on an electrode and used to record information on an information recording medium (column 5, lines 50-60), characterized in that when voltage is applied to the sensor after the sensor has been exposed to light with no voltage applied thereto (as shown in Figs. 3-8; column 7, lines 39-68) or voltage of opposite polarity applied thereto.

Art Unit: 2871

Takanashi does not disclose a photo-induced current is generated depending upon exposure quantity so that the information can be recorded on the information recording medium.

Because Takanashi discloses an electric field is applied (column 7, lines 27-30) and light is provided to the photosensitive layer (column 6, line 37), photo-induced currents are generated.

Therefore, it would have been obvious a photo-induced current is generated depending upon exposure quantity so that the information can be recorded on the information recording medium in the device of Takanashi.

Furthermore, Takanashi does not disclose the exposed portion is made higher in conductivity than the unexposed portion and the exposed portion is kept still higher in conductivity than the unexposed portion even after the exposure of the sensor to information light has been finished, and while the sensor remains exposed to information light or after the exposure of the sensor to information light has been finished, nor the application of voltage of opposite polarity is applied thereto, and then the original voltage is again applied thereto, whereby the resulting conductivity is made equal to that obtained by the continued application of voltage.

Takanashi does disclose the impedance of the photoconductive layer 114 varies in accordance with the optical image of the object O, so that the electric field applied to the photomodulation layer 111 depends on the optical image of object O and the application of the image-dependent electric field to the photo-modulation layer 111 forms a charge latent image on the photo-modulation layer 111 (column 12, lines 21-28). Takanashi also discloses that applied voltage time and amplitude may be varied (column 14, lines 15-25).

Application/Control Number: 08/428,325

Art Unit: 2871

It would have been obvious to make the exposed portion higher in conductivity than the unexposed portion and keep the exposed portion still higher in conductivity than the unexposed portion even after the exposure of the sensor to information light has been finished so that the charge is reliably set in the recording medium.

Furthermore, it is well known to make the sensor exposed to information light or after the exposure of the sensor to information light has been finished, apply voltage of opposite polarity is applied thereto, and then the original voltage is again applied thereto, whereby the resulting conductivity is made equal to that obtained by the continued application of voltage to permit optimization of device performance.

Takanashi shows the image recording medium and the photoelectric sensor separated by an air gap (see Fig. 9). Since Takanashi teaches varying the applied voltage, it would have been obvious to optimize performance to comply with the reciprocity law.

Furthermore, Takanashi (see Fig. 10) shows the photoelectric sensor and the information recording medium being stacked on each other. Takanashi shows a mechanism 4 for starting the application of voltage to the electrodes.

Takanashi teaches the information recording medium is a liquid crystal recording medium including on the electrode a liquid crystal-polymer composite material layer comprising liquid crystals and resin (column 6, lines 1-5).

Since shutter speed and recording properties may be varied, it would have been obvious to satisfy the reciprocity law in optimizing performance.

Takanashi teaches the voltage applied is controlled.

4. Claims 20, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takanshi et al. (Takanashi), U.S. Patent No. 5,315,410, as applied to Claims 4-9, 13-15, 19, 21-23, and 26-28 above, and further in view of Ando et al. (Ando), U.S. Patent No. 4,692,779, and Shimizu et al. (Shimizu), U.S. Patent No. 5,646,927.

Takanashi makes obvious the photoelectric sensor, but does not teach the photoelectric sensor is characterized in that when an electric field of 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>6</sup> V/m is applied to the sensor, a current passing through the unexposed portion has a current density of 10<sup>-4</sup> to 10<sup>-7</sup> A/cm<sup>2</sup>.

Ando teaches that liquid crystal in an image forming apparatus have electric fields on the order of 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>6</sup> V/m applied (column 4, line 63, through column 5, line 2).

Shimizu teaches generated photocurrent is about 10<sup>-6</sup> A/cm<sup>2</sup> (column 26, lines 1-8).

Therefore, as to Claim 3, it would have been obvious to use an electric field of 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>6</sup> V/m and a current of 10<sup>-4</sup> to 10<sup>-7</sup> A/cm<sup>2</sup>, as suggested by Ando and Shimizu, in the device of Takanashi.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed March 20, 2000, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

No arguments were provided in the amendment.

Art Unit: 2871

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter Malinowski whose telephone number is (703) 308-3172.

wjm

SUPERMENT BANGER OFFICE OFFI OFFICE OFFI

Page 6

June 26, 2000